UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,511	07/23/2003	Giuseppe Cereda	Q76191	7413	
23373 7590 03/14/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			HAILE, FEBEN		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,511	CEREDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔀 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) 🔼	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
) 🔲	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

TOP	ICE	OF	AΡ	PE	٩L

2. [The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in compliance with 37	CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two m	onths of the da	ate of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.	37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.	Since a
	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re	eply must be filed within the time p	eriod set forth in	37 CFR 41.37(a).		

<u>ΑΝ</u>

3.

4. 5. 6.

7.

	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>/E</u>	NDMENTS
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
=	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: __ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.

····	ID A CHILL CONTROL CON
3. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: _____.

/Aung S. Moe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant respectfully traverses that Sawdey fails to disclose a re-configurable multiplexer including at least one filter head having only a single resonant cavity and that the at least one filter head is configured to be connectable to either a corresponding cover plate for short circuit purpose or to a filter tail in order to provide filter functionality. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant. Sawdey discloses a multiplexer forming multiple channel routing, i.e. re-configurable multiplexer, comprising a manifold with a connecting filter. With respect to figure 2, a manifold 38 includes a connecting filter 62 which is separated into parts by a transverse wall 88, with each part including a cavity 84 and 86 (page 2 paragraph 0018). Furthermore, it is noted that the word "or" joins alternative ideas, thus the Examiner only has to address one of the limitations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 62 separated into sections by the transverse wall 88 could be a filter head and filter tail. The motivation being to provide full filter functionality in a unitary structure of reduced size and mass to separate and combine electromagnetic signals in a satellite communication system. the Applicant respectfully traverses that Sawdey fails to disclose that the at least one filter head is As the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that Sawdey indeed does render the Applicant's invention obvious, therefore unpatentable.

/Feben M Haile/ Examiner, Art Unit 2616